



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/373,795	08/13/1999	MARK A. BAKKE	98-127-NSC	2949

7590 07/29/2002

TIMOTHY R SCHULTE
STORAGE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION
ONE STORAGETEK DRIVE MS 4309
LOUISVILLE, CO 800284309

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance
With 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.

09/373,795

Applicant(s)

BAKKE ET AL.

Examiner

Srirama Channavajjala

Art Unit

2177

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 25 June 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☒ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet


Srirama Channavajjala
Examiner
Art Unit: 2177

Continuation of 9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): This is in response to the appeal brief filed on 25 June 2002.

(a) Summary of Invention

- (i) The summary of invention item V does not include page and lines in the brief, page 2
- (ii) Too brief

(b) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues item VI in the brief, page 2-3 is incorrect, because there is only TWO issues i.e., 35 USC 103(a) and 35 USC 102(e).
[Appellant listed total SIX issues]

(c) Grouping of Claims

The grouping of Claims item VII should have NO argument(s) with regard to the grouping in item VII.

(d) Argument

The number of main heading argument(s) should be equivalent to number of issues in item VI.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the APPEAL BRIEF is improper..